Merit System.

- Q. And would that individual firefighter then be subject to potential discipline or firing?
 - A. I think so.
- Q. Same question. Would the firefighter violate the Merit System's rules and regulations and be subject to discipline if he bypassed the chain of command and spoke directly to the media about inadequate protective gear or inadequate fire department equipment and vehicles?
- A. I feel it's a violation of the Merit System.
- Q. And that individual be subject to discipline or firing, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Would it be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter bypassed the chain of command and spoke directly to a media representative about concerns he had over response times or inadequate dispatching procedures in the fire department?
 - A. I feel that would be a violation.
- Q. And would it also be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations, subjecting a firefighter to discipline or firing, if he bypassed

5

6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

the chain of command and spoke directly to a media representative about employee morale in the fire department?

- Α. I feel it would be a violation.
- Would it also be a violation of the Merit 0. System rules and regulations if a firefighter bypassed the chain of command and spoke directly to a media representative about public safety related to fire department operations?
- I would think so. There are certain procedures on the ground where they've got ways of doing it through the chain.
 - 0. What do you mean specifically by that?
- Α. They have got ways to talk with people and get it to whomever they need to talk with.
- On all of those subjects I just covered -staffing, health and safety of firefighters, protective gear, equipment in the fire department, morale, safety -- would it be a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations if a firefighter addressed those issues directly with the city council without pursuing it through the the chain of command?
 - A. I think it would be, yes.
 - And would that individual firefighter then 0.

be subject to discipline or potential firing if he addressed those issues directly with the city council without going through the so-called chain of command?

- A. I think it would be.
- Q. Okay. Has that ever happened? Has a firefighter ever gone to a council meeting and stood up and addressed a fire department issue?
- A. In my 34 years? No, sir. We not to my knowledge now. That's that's not to say I have been to every council meeting, but there have been times when they would address budget hearings, when the chief would ask some to talk years ago. Now we have our budget hearings, you know, in this room here, and the chiefs present their cases at that time.
- Q. Have any city police officers and/or representatives of the FOP ever talked directly to the news media about issues of concern in the Police Department?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Now, going back to Exhibit 15, which is the memo again from Chief Hunter to members of the Phenix City Fire Department dated September 20, 2005, is it your understanding that the Chief

distributed that to all the employees in the city's fire department?

- A. It was distributed to all the employees of the city.
- Q. Okay. But this, in particular, looks like it was distributed by Hunter to members of the fire department. Is that your understanding?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And did he do this with your prior knowledge and approval?
 - A. He did.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19:

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And did you authorize a similar memo to be distributed to all City employees?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And it required apparently all of the firefighters, as well as all City employees, to sign off that they had received or read this?
- A. We would like some type of record that they received -- that each one received a copy of this memo.
- Q. Did you receive any objections from any city employees or firefighters about the substance of this memo?
 - A. I have not, no, sir.
 - Q. Have you heard that anyone objected to it?

A. No, sir.

- Q. And we discussed earlier with Chief Hunter Exhibit 34, which appears to be a memorandum from you, sir, as the city manager dated September 20, 2005, to all employees. And is this the kind of memorandum that was distributed to the city workers?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit 17,
 Mr. Roberts. This appears to be a letter addressed
 to you dated January 31, 2006, from a gentleman
 named Harold A. Schaitberger, general president of
 the International Association of Firefighters. Do
 you remember receiving this letter shortly after its
 date?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And copies were evidently also sent, you can see at the end of the letter, to Mayor Hardin and Fire Chief Hunter. Do you see where it says that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. When you received this letter, what was your reaction to it? Were you annoyed? Were you upset? Anything like that?
- A. Not annoyed or upset per se. I called the Chiefs in and wanted to know what the letter was

about. I didn't know of anything going on at this particular time.

- Q. Didn't know anything what?
- A. Any conflict that was going on at this particular time. And I believe it was Chief Waters said he would talk with David Davis about the letter.
- Q. Did you ask Chief Hunter to look into it and get back to you?
- A. I think Chief Hunter was already looking into it, yes.
- Q. But did you expect him to get back to you at some time?
 - A. Yes, sure.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And among other things in this letter,
 Mr. Schaitberger is addressing concerns about the
 shift schedule, the risks or possibility of
 implementing an 8-hour shift as opposed to the
 existing 24-hour schedule. And, among other things,
 also addressing a concern that Mr. Davis was issued
 a counseling form on September 20, 2005, concerning
 his interview and statements to the local media. Do
 you see where it says that?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And then Mr. Schaitberger is outlining

certainly legal principles under the First

Amendment; for example, the right that public

employees have to free association under the First

Amendment. Were you aware of those protections,

those constitutional rights, before you got this

letter from Mr. Schaitberger?

- A. I'm aware of the First Amendment rights, yes, sir, and I do feel like that our First Amendment rights are we give them their due diligence as well with them, and there's procedures for that.
- Q. Have you been aware for a number of years that the First Amendment also protects the right of public employees to free speech?
- A. I do under the guidelines that's given, yes, sir.
- Q. And have you been aware for a number of years as city manager that it's a violation of the First Amendment protections for public employees to be disciplined or retaliated against if they are exercising their First Amendment rights to free speech and free association?
- A. As long as it's done in the proper perspective.
 - Q. What was the follow-up? You get this

letter. You talk to Chief Hunter, what's going on. 1 2 You expect he's going to get back to you. 3 get back to you? Yes, sir. They had letter. I believe it 4 was some -- David said there wasn't any problems. 5 Exhibit 18, this appears to be a 6 Okav. 7 memo from Deputy Chief Roy Waters to Chief Hunter dated February 6, 2006, and it's concerning the 8 letter Schaitberger had sent to you. Did you 9 receive a copy of this memo? 10 11 Α. I did. 12 0. On or about the date of it in February 2006? 13 Uh-huh. 14 Α. 0. That's a yes? 15 16 Α. Yes, sir. I'm sorry. So when you received a copy of this memo, 17 you understood, I take it, that there had been a 18 19 discussion between Deputy Chief Waters and 20 Mr. Davis, correct? 21

As indicated in the letter, yes, sir. Α.

All right. Did you take any further action or think anything further was necessary on this subject?

Α. I did not.

22

23

24

1		Q.	Anc	l tl
2	Mr.	Scha	itbe	erge
3	Febr	ruary	14,	20
4		Α.	Tha	it's
5		Q.	Anc	l yo
6	that	: the	Dep	outy
7	rece	eipt	of y	our
8	he t	houg	ht ∈	ever
9	good	l and	tha	ıt l
10		Α.	Ιι	ısec
11	was	repo	rtec	l to
12		Q.	Oka	ıy.
13		Q.	The	n a
14	atte	ntio	n, M	ir.
15	tele	phon	e ca	11
16	2006	?		
17		Α.	Yes	, 5
18		Q.	How	di
19		Α.	То	be
20	reme	mber	. I	be
21	expl	aine	d it	tc
22		Q.	In	a c
23		Α.	Yes	, S

- hen you sent a reply letter back to er which appears as Exhibit 20 dated 006; is that correct?
 - s correct.
- ou indicate in part in this letter y Chief spoke with Mr. Davis upon r letter, and Mr. Davis expressed that rything in the department was going ne did not have any complaints?
- d Chief Waters' letter and put what o me.
- at some point did it come to your Roberts, that Mr. Davis had placed a to Mayor Hardin sometime in April of
 - sir.
 - d that first come to your attention?
- honest with you, I don't really elieve it was Chief Hunter that o me or told me about it.
 - conversation?
 - Α. Yes, sir.

- And what did he tell you about it? 0.
- In general terms, basically that the Mayor Α.

had been contacted by Mr. Davis in relation to a proposed change in probationary time.

1

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Probationary time for new hires into the fire department?
- A. For new hires within three departments, all of our public safety, which is, of course, our police, code enforcers, and, of course, the fire department.
- Q. But is it fair and accurate to say that since Mr. Davis was an 8-year employee of the fire department, that this proposed extension of the probationary period from one year to 18 months would have not directly affected him? Is that a fair and accurate statement?
 - A. It would not have affected him at all.
- Q. Was it your understanding, based upon the information that you have been given, that Mr. Duty placed the telephone call to Mayor Hardin in April of 2006 when --

MR. GRAHAM: You said Mr. Duty?

- Q. I'm sorry. Is it your understanding, based upon the information that you were given, that David Davis, when he placed the call to Mayor Hardin in April of 2006, was off duty at the time?
 - A. I don't remember asking that. I don't

know.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Davis -- or did you receive any information that Mr. Davis had placed that call to the Mayor in Mr. Davis' capacity as president of the firefighters local labor association?
- A. The only thing the Mayor told me was that David had called concerning the proposed change in probationary time.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Now, I would have assumed it would have been as a officer of the local or as a firefighter, either/or.
- Q. When you say assume, do you have any personal knowledge that it might have been in his capacity as president of the local union?
- A. Well, he's both, so I assumed it would be that, yes.
- Q. Do you know or do you have any information that Mr. Davis addressed any other issues when he spoke to the Mayor by telephone other than extending the probationary period?
- A. I do not know any other information on their phone call.
 - Q. When you were told by Chief Hunter that

Mr. Davis had this telephone conversation with the Mayor, did it occur to you that that would have been a violation of the Merit System rules and regulations?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Did you tell Chief Hunter at the time that it was -- in your opinion, that was a violation?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Let's go to Exhibit 23. This is a memo from Chief Wallace Hunter to yourself, sir, as city manager dated April 20, 2006, a copy being sent also to Barbara Goodwin, the Personnel Director. I take it you received this memo from the Chief on or about that date?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Now, did you do anything when you received this memo? Speak to anybody? Give any instructions?
- A. I don't remember whether I asked them or told them that if, in the course of them conducting the investigation was my understanding of what it was about. But I think, there again, they were told to go through the the city attorney.
- Q. Did you voice the view to Chief Hunter or Personnel Director Goodwin that in light of this

situation, you felt Mr. Davis should be fired?

- A. No. I think my comment to the Personnel Director was that I felt like we had a violation and it needed to be checked.
- Q. So you did voice your opinion that you thought the situation was a violation?
- A. Yes. But to check it through the attorneys and to go with it.
- Q. You'll notice Chief Hunter, in his memo to you, is expressing concerns or actually criticizing Mayor Hardin. You'll see that at the bottom of the first page of the memo where he says, quote, Mayor Hardin should refer any employee violating the chain of command, as indicated in our Merit System, back to their department head, Personnel Department, or city manager. Failing to do so is a violation of our City charter, end quote. See where it says that?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Did you agree with that assessment by Chief Hunter concerning the activities and position of the Mayor?
- A. I felt like the Mayor should instruct the firefighter or union president, if it concerned something to do with any business, to contact me

since I was the designated representative for the International Association of Firefighters to contact on City business, which would have — to me would have violated the Merit System anyway if he was a firefighter calling. So yes, there was definitely a Merit System violation.

- Q. Do you think the Mayor violated the Merit System rules and regulations?
- A. No. I'm saying Mr. Davis did. The Mayor is not covered by the Merit System.
- Q. No. But I'm addressing these points that Chief Hunter is talking about the Mayor. You know, I just quoted a couple sentences. My question to you is did you share and agree with the viewpoint of Chief Hunter that Mayor Hardin failed in his efforts to comply with the city charter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And why do you say yes?
- A. I feel that that gets into the day-to-day operations of the city and it should be left up to the city manager.
- Q. Have you ever spoken to Mayor Hardin about this situation in your --
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. -- your position?

A. No, sir.

1,1

- Q. Are there any circumstances under which a firefighter working for the city can communicate with the Mayor of the city about issues that involve the city fire department?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Would your answer be the same if I referred to city council members? Are there any circumstances or situations under which a firefighter can speak to a city council member about issues involving the city's fire department?
- A. They can come to the council through proper procedures. And I think that that proper procedure goes through their chain of command. And if we cannot correct it, then we feel ultimately, if I feel that it needs to get to the council, then I will get it to them.
- Q. You, as the city manager, will raise concerns?
- A. Yes. The bottom line, even on a work session, of whatever comes before council for a work session we control, whether it be the civilians coming in or whomever. It's a very structured form of government.
 - Q. Is a firefighter permitted to -- after

exhausting the chain of command on an issue affecting the fire department, is that firefighter then allowed to address the city council or city council members on that issue?

- A. Not by the Merit System, I don't think, no, sir.
- Q. So if such a firefighter did that after exhausting the chain of command, that firefighter would be violating the Merit System rules and regulations?
- A. I think he would be violating the intent of the Merit System rules and regulations, I do.
- Q. Would he be violating the language of the Merit System rules and regulations?
 - A. I feel like they would be, yes.
- Q. And would that same firefighter, after exhausting the chain of command, who addressed the city council as a group on an issue affecting the fire department, would that firefighter be subject to discipline up to and including termination?
- A. He would be disciplined as to whatever the Merit System says. But I'm going to say this again: I don't feel like that it would ever get to that point. I've never seen it that way. Let me put it that way.

Well, just so the record is clear, the 1 2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- firefighter pursues the chain of command on an issue affecting the fire department and addresses the city council on that same issue, then would he be subject to discipline, including firing?
 - He could be, yes. A.
- Exhibit 25, Mr. Roberts, is a End of 0. Employment Form involving Mr. Davis and it indicates that his employment was terminated April 21, 2006, and he was dismissed. It has a place for you to sign, but on this copy there's no signature. Do you recall having signed that?
 - I did not sign it. Α.
 - Now, why would that have been? 0.
- He was -- it was an appeal process, and Α. I've got to sign the ultimate letter that does the discharge.
- Had you, at this point in time, verbally told Chief Hunter that you approved of the termination?
- I knew of the termination, but I -- I knew of the termination. However, I did not go into details with them. I received those details -- full details. You get bits and pieces at any time to any termination in the city. But I will receive all the